

# VERTEX COLORING EDGE WEIGHTINGS WITH INTEGER WEIGHTS AT MOST 6

MACIEJ KALKOWSKI, MICHAŁ KAROŃSKI, AND FLORIAN PFENDER

ABSTRACT. A weighting of the edges of a graph is called neighbor distinguishing if the weighted degrees of the vertices yield a proper coloring of the graph. In this note we show that such a weighting is possible from the weight set  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  for all graphs not containing components with exactly 2 vertices.

All graphs in this note are finite and simple. For notation not defined here we refer the reader to [3].

For some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  be an integer weighting of the edges of a graph  $G$ . This weighting is called vertex coloring if the weighted degrees  $w(v) = \sum_{u \in N(v)} w(uv)$  of the vertices yield a proper coloring of the graph. It is easy to see that for every graph which does not have a component isomorphic to  $K^2$ , there exists such a weighting for some  $k$ .

In 2002, Karoński, Łuczak and Thomason (see [6]) conjectured that such a weighting with  $k = 3$  is possible for all such graphs ( $k = 2$  is not sufficient as seen for instance in complete graphs and cycles of length not divisible by 4). A first constant bound of  $k = 30$  was proved by Addario-Berry et al. in 2007 [1], which was later improved to  $k = 16$  in [2] and to  $k = 13$  in [7].

In this note we show a completely different approach that improves the bound to  $k = 6$ . We were able to further improve the bound to  $k = 5$  in [5], but this current note exhibits some interesting ideas with their own merit which were not used in the other paper.

Consider a related result by the first author using a total weighting, i.e. we add weights to the vertices as well.

**Lemma 1** ([4]). *For any connected graph  $G$  with  $|G| \geq 3$ , there is an edge weighting  $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$ , and a vertex weighting  $f' : V(G) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  such that the total weight  $w(v) := f'(v) + \sum_{w \in N(v)} f(vw)$  gives a proper coloring of  $V(G)$ .*

With the help of this result, the first author was able to reduce the bound to  $k = 10$  after tripling all weights and adjusting some of the

resulting edge weights by 1 with a Kempe chain type argument to get a neighbor distinguishing edge weighting.

In this note, we use similar ideas to get down to  $k = 6$  in the original problem. We start with a slight generalization of Lemma 1. The proof is almost identical but is included here for completeness.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then, for any connected graph  $G$  with  $|G| \geq 3$ , and for any spanning tree  $T$ , there is an edge weighting  $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{\alpha - \beta, \alpha, \alpha + \beta\}$ , and a vertex weighting  $f' : V(G) \rightarrow \{0, \beta\}$  such that the total weight  $w(v) := f'(v) + \sum_{w \in N(v)} f(vw)$  gives a proper coloring of  $V(G)$ . Further, we can choose  $f$  in a way that  $f(e) = \alpha$  for all edges  $e \in E(T)$ .*

*Proof.* We order the vertices  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  such that for  $k \geq 2$ , every  $v_k$  has exactly one edge in  $T$  to a vertex in  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$ . We start by assigning the weight  $\alpha$  to every edge of  $G$ , and then adjust this edge weight at most once to assign a total weight to every  $v_k$  in order, which then remains unchanged.

The vertex  $v_1$  gets weight  $\alpha d_G(v_1)$ . Let us assume for some  $k \geq 2$  that we have adjusted edge weights  $f$  on  $E(G[\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}]) \setminus E(T)$  and vertex weights  $f'$  on  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$  so that the first  $k - 1$  vertices have their final total weight  $w(v_i)$ .

For  $v_k$ , we can adjust the weights of all edges  $E(v_k, \{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}) \setminus E(T)$ , by  $\beta$ : If  $v_k v_i \in E(G) \setminus E(T)$  and  $f'(v_i) = 0$ , we can choose between  $(f(v_k v_i) = \alpha, f'(v_i) = 0)$  and  $(f(v_k v_i) = \alpha - \beta, f'(v_i) = \beta)$  without changing  $w(v_i)$ . Similarly, if  $v_k v_i \in E(G) \setminus E(T)$  and  $f'(v_i) = \beta$ , we can choose between  $(f(v_k v_i) = \alpha, f'(v_i) = \beta)$  and  $(f(v_k v_i) = \alpha + \beta, f'(v_i) = 0)$  without changing  $w(v_i)$ . Finally, we can choose  $f'(v_k)$ . This gives us a total of  $|E(v_k, \{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}) \setminus E(T)| + 2 = |E(v_k, \{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\})| + 1$  different possibilities for  $w(v_k)$ , and we may pick one that is different from all weights in  $N(v_k) \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$ .

Continuing in this fashion, we can find the desired weighting.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this note.

**Theorem 3.** *For every graph  $G$  without components isomorphic to a  $K^2$ , there is a weighting  $\omega : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$ , such that the induced vertex weights  $\omega(v) := \sum_{u \in N(v)} \omega(uv)$  properly color  $V(G)$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $G$  is connected as we can treat every component separately. Start with any spanning tree  $T$  and consider the weighting  $(f, f', w)$  from Lemma 2 with parameters  $\alpha = 4$  and  $\beta = -2$ . At this point, all edges and vertices have even weights. In the remainder of the proof we will adjust  $f$  and  $f'$ , but  $w(v)$  will remain unchanged (and even) for every vertex  $v \in V(G)$ .

Let  $H = G[\{v \in V(G) \mid f'(v) = -2\}]$ , and find a maximal spanning subgraph  $H_1$  of  $H$  with maximum degree at most 2. Add  $-1$  to the weights  $f(e)$  of all edges in  $H_1$ , and adjust  $f'(v)$  accordingly for all vertices in  $V(H_1)$  to keep  $w(v)$  unchanged. Now all vertices  $v \in V(G)$  have  $f'(v) \in \{0, -1, -2\}$ , all edges  $e \in E(G)$  have  $f(e) \in \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$ , and all edges  $e \in E(T)$  have  $f(e) \in \{3, 4\}$ .

For  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  let  $S_i := \{v \in V(G) \mid f'(v) = -i\}$  and  $s_i := |S_i|$ . Note that all vertices  $v \in S_0 \cup S_2$  have even weights  $w(v) - f'(v)$ , and vertices in  $S_1$  have odd weights. By the maximality of  $H_1$ , all edges  $uv$  with  $u, v \in S_1 \cup S_2$  have  $u, v \in S_1$  and  $uv \in E(H_1)$ . In particular,  $w(u) - f'(u) \neq w(v) - f'(v)$  for the end vertices of these edges. Let us denote the set of these edges by  $E^*$ .

If  $s_2 = 0$ , we are done by setting  $\omega = f$ . If  $s_2 = 1$  and  $s_1 = 0$ , let  $u \in S_2$ . Note that all edges  $e$  incident to  $u$  have weights  $f(e) \in \{2, 4, 6\}$ . If  $u$  has a neighbor  $v$  with  $w(u) + 2 \neq w(v)$ , subtract 1 on the edge  $uv$  and we are done by setting  $\omega = f$  (note that  $u$  and  $v$  are the only vertices with odd weight  $\omega$ ). If for all neighbors  $v \in N(u)$  we have  $w(u) + 2 = w(v)$  and  $|N(u)| \geq 2$ , subtract 1 on two different edges incident to  $u$ . Again, this leads to a proper weighting  $\omega$ . Finally, if the only neighbor  $v \in N(u)$  has  $w(u) + 2 = w(v)$ , we can find a vertex  $x \in N_T(v) \setminus \{u\}$ , subtract 1 from  $f(uv)$  and add 1 to  $f(xv)$ , and again this leads to a proper weighting  $\omega$ .

If  $s_2 = 1$  and  $s_1 \geq 1$ , take a  $T$ -path between  $u \in S_2$  and  $v \in S_1$ , and, in the manner of a Kempe chain argument, add and subtract 1 in turn to all edges of this path, making sure that we subtract 1 on the edge incident to  $v$ . This leads to a proper weighting  $\omega$ .

If  $s_2 \geq 2$ , the following inductive argument shows that we can find  $\lfloor s_2/2 \rfloor$  paths in  $T$  such that the set of ends of the paths is exactly  $S_2$ , and every edge of  $T$  is used at most twice. For  $2 \leq s_2 \leq 3$ , these paths are easy to find. For  $s_2 \geq 4$ , find an edge  $e \in E(T)$  so that both components of  $T - e$  contain at least 2 vertices in  $S_2$  and at least one of the components contains an even number of vertices in  $S_2$ . Now apply induction on the two components to find the desired paths.

In the manner of a Kempe chain argument, add and subtract 1 in turn to all edges of each of these paths, such that only the weights of the end vertices are affected, and adjust  $f'$  for these vertices accordingly. If a vertex  $u \in S_2$  is end vertex of two paths (i.e., if  $s_2$  is odd), we make sure to subtract 1 on the edges incident to  $u$  of both paths so that we end up with  $f'(u) = 0$ . Note that we only use edges in  $E(T)$ , and therefore we do not introduce edge weights less than 1 or greater than 6. After this process, all vertices previously in  $S_2$  now have weights  $f'(v) \in \{-3, -1, 0\}$ . If we set  $\omega = f$ , we see that  $\omega = w$  for all vertices

with  $w(v)$  even, and the only edges between vertices with odd weight  $\omega(v)$  are in  $E^*$ , and therefore their end vertices have different weights. Thus,  $\omega$  is as desired.  $\square$

#### THANKS

The third author thanks Christian Reiher for very productive discussions on the topic.

#### REFERENCES

1. L. Addario-Berry, K. Dalal, C. McDiarmid, B.A. Reed and A. Thomason, Vertex-colouring edge-weightings, *Combinatorica* **27** (2007), 1 - 12.
2. L. Addario-Berry, K. Dalal and B.A. Reed, Degree constrained subgraphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **156** (2008), 1168-1174.
3. R. Diestel, “*Graph Theory*,” Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 2005.
4. M. Kalkowski, manuscript (2008)
5. M. Kalkowski, M. Karoński, F. Pfender, Vertex coloring edge weightings: towards the 1-2-3 conjecture, preprint.
6. M. Karoński, T. Łuczak and A. Thomason, Edge weights and vertex colours, *J. Combinatorial Theory (B)* **91** (2004), 151- 157.
7. T. Wang and Q. Yu, On vertex-coloring 13-edge-weighting, *Front. Math. China* **3** (2008), 1-7.